

Upper Valley Lake Sunapee Regional Planning Commission Transportation Advisory Committee

MEETING AGENDA

November 13, 2018

5:00-6:30 PM

UVLSRPC Offices

10 Water Street, Suite 225

Lebanon, NH 03766

- I. Call to Order**
- II. Action on Minutes from October 23, 2018**
- III. Ten-Year Plan Project Criteria Discussion**
- IV. Long Range Transportation Plan Work Session**
- V. Updates**
 - a. NHDOT**
 - b. UVLSRPC**
 - c. TAC Member**
- VI. Adjourn**

***Next Meeting December 11th**

*This meeting is open to the public. If you need special accommodations please give 48 hours notice and contact mbutts@uvlsrc.org or 603-448-1680.

Upper Valley Lake Sunapee Regional Planning Commission Transportation Advisory Committee

MEETING MINUTES

October 23, 2018

5:00-6:30 PM

UVLSRPC Offices

10 Water Street, Suite 225

Lebanon, NH 03766

Members Present: Dick Jones (Lyme), Van Chesnut (Advance Transit, Chair), Peter Kulbacki (Hanover), Victor St. Pierre (Claremont), Paige Heverly (Vital Communities), Scott Hazelton (Sunapee), Karen Liot Hill (Lebanon), Terri Paige (SCS), Don Nicholas (Grantham), William Rose (NHDOT)

Staff Present: Steve Schneider (UVLSRPC), Meghan Butts (UVLSRPC)

Members of the Public: Jason Plourde (BETA Group Consultant)

I. Call to Order

Dick Jones called the meeting to order at 5:00 PM.

II. Action on Minutes from September 25, 2018

Peter Kulbacki made a motion to approve the minutes from September 25, 2018. Paige Heverly seconded the motion to approve. The motion passed. Terri Paige abstained.

III. TAP Scoring

The Committee reviewed TAP scoring criteria and scoring sheet created by the Scoring Committee. Terri Paige made the motion to accept the prioritized order of projects in the following order as suggested by the Scoring Committee to be presented to NHDOT: Lebanon, Claremont, Enfield, Sunapee, Canaan. The motion was seconded by Paige Heverly. The motion passed unanimously. Staff will draft a letter stating the above TAC recommendation and will send to NHDOT. NHDOT will review projects following NHDOT criteria listed in the application. In scoring the projects, NHDOT will take into consideration RPC TAC recommendations and attempt to spread funding out throughout the State.

IV. Ten-Year Plan

Staff presented handouts of the 2021-2030 NH Ten Year Plan Regional Planning Commission Process and Timeline. It is also posted on the [website](#). Projects due to Meghan Butts at UVLSRPC by October 31st.

V. Long Range Transportation Plan

Staff presented the Committee a proposed Corridor Map for the Long Range Transportation Plan. Discussion centered around major regional corridor identification and collector routes to the major corridor. Regional Corridor connection with I89 and I91 were also discussed as well as more detailed maps when focusing on one corridor at a time. The Committee brainstormed community outreach strategies and impacts as well as discussing necessary data for the plan including visual data. Staff will move forward with developing scoping for the project. Staff will create a list of data points for the Committee to review. Staff will begin to develop GIS data for visual representation of corridors.

VI. Updates

a. NHDOT Updates

William Rose mentioned that NHDOT is continuing to work on the TAP grant, the next round of the Ten-Year Plan, and the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program update.

b. UVLSRPC Staff Updates

Meghan Butts reported that a staff is finishing up the field work season. The Lyme Culvert Inventory was a success and is close to completion. Staff will be starting an RSMS project in Grantham in the coming weeks.

c. Member Updates

There were no member updates.

VII. Adjourn

Van Chesnut motioned to adjourn. Peter Kulbacki seconded the motion. All in favor. The meeting was adjourned at 6:30PM.

2021-2030 NH Ten Year Plan Project Ranking Criteria		
Criteria Name	Description	Performance Targets
Mobility	Definition: Mobility is the potential to get from one place to another and is generally evaluated based on the numbers of trips, travel speeds, and total travel distance and time. Accessibility is the ability of people to reach desired employment, goods, services, and other destinations.	Travel Time Reliability/ Peak Hour Travel Delay/Non-SOV travel(CMAQ)
Reduce Congestion	Definition: The extent to which the project is intended to impact traveler delay upon completion.	
Freight Mobility	Definition: The degree to which the project impacts movement of goods.	
Alternative Modes	Definition: The extent to which the project impacts accommodations for alternative modes of travel including bicycle, pedestrian and transit, where so desired.	Non-SOV travel(CMAQ)/Safety
Network Significance	Definition: The extent to which the project is important to network connectivity based on current traffic volume, Tiers, functional system, and importance to the regional system, and availability of alternative routes.	
Traffic Volume	Definition: A measure of motor vehicle volume based on the NHDOT traffic data management system (eg. Average Annual Daily Traffic AADT).	
Facility Importance	Definition: The extent to which the facility moves people and goods between major locations. Considerations, Tiers	
Safety	Definition: The degree to which a project impacts traveler safety in relation to safety performance and the project's safety measures.	Safety
Safety Measures	Definition: The degree to which the scope of the project focuses on measures that increase safety (proposed improvements). Examples of safety measures include:- Improved guardrail, barrier, rumble strips, signing, striping- Improved sight distance, signalization, roundabouts- Protective measures for bicyclists and pedestrian Natural hazard mitigation measures..	
Safety Performance	Definition: A composite measure of 5-year average safety performance (e.g., History of crash rate, crash severity, etc.)	
State of Repair	Definition: The extent to which the project impacts the service life of the asset and the extent to which the project is required based on current asset condition.	Pavement & Bridge Conditions
Roadway Surface Life	Definition: This criterion has two components reflecting the different approach to the management of roadways and bridges based around the facility condition and tier: Roadway Service Life: The extent to which the project impacts asset condition/service life of the facility (generally measured in years). For existing roadway facilities the measure applies to service life or asset condition. For new roadway facilities it applies to the total expected service life. "Keep Good Roads Good".	
Bridge Asset Condition	Definition: This criterion has two components reflecting the different approach to the management of roadways and bridges based around the facility condition:Bridge Asset Condition: The degree to which the project's assets require work based on existing asset conditions, as determined by management system ratings including Pontus (bridges), etc. Fix the "Worst First"	
Support	Definition: The degree to which a project has support by the RPC or Local, and feasibility of construction.	
Resiliency	Definition: Will the proposed project help address natural hazard mitigation measures?	

2021-2030 NH Ten Year Plan Regional Planning Commission Process

4 September 2018

AUGUST-SEPTEMBER 2018:

RPC review/questions/comments on 2021-2030 TYP criteria, process & schedule.

SEPTEMBER 2018 – DECEMBER 2018:

RPCs work to confirm existing project listings in their respective regional TIPs – or make revisions. Prepare individual project information sheets for each project proposed for inclusion in the 2021-2030 NH Ten Year Plan.

To avoid multiple votes of the TAC/TTAC/Policy Committee, NHDOT recommends that the initial submittal be submitted as a draft candidate list and not the ‘final’ list of projects from the RPC to NHDOT for review and comment. Project list = initial list of projects estimated to be within the regional allocation + 2 additional priority projects.

PLEASE NOTE: All Ten Year Plan project candidates must have been vetted by licensed professional engineering staff prior to submittal to NHDOT for Ten Year Plan consideration. NHDOT will make professional engineering staff available to assist with engineering reviews, provided that submittals are made by the identified deadlines.

OPTION A – DECEMBER 3, 2018

RPCs intending to use NHDOT’s professional engineering staff to review candidate projects will submit their initial list of candidates by December 3. NHDOT will provide reviews of the proposed TYP candidates via the Estimate Review Committee. NHDOT will follow-up with individual RPCs regarding proposed TYP candidate projects.

OPTION B – JANUARY 4, 2019

RPCs submitting engineer reviewed candidate projects to NHDOT for scope/estimate review will submit them to NHDOT by January 4.

NHDOT project/estimate review committee reviews proposed projects for:

- Completeness of project scope
- Accuracy of proposed project cost estimate
- Other NHDOT comments on proposals for RPC consideration (potential programmatic, to be addressed by another NHDOT, identification of potential project overlaps, etc.)

FEBRUARY - MARCH 2019:

Individual RPC meetings with NHDOT scheduled to discuss:

- Results of NHDOT review of proposed projects
- NHDOT strategy re: development of the draft 2021-2030 NH TYP
- RPC questions regarding the 2021-2030 TYP efforts
- Proposed approach to the GACIT process for the 2021-2030 TYP

APRIL 2019:

RPCs finalize (TAC/TTAC/Policy Committee) their formal 2021-2030 TYP submittals to NHDOT.

MAY 1, 2019:

Final prioritized listing of projects due from RPCs. Meetings to discuss any outstanding issues/questions as necessary.

JUNE 2019:

NHDOT finalizes work on draft 2021-2030 NH Ten Year Transportation Plan

JULY 2019:

GACIT Kick-off meeting – start of NH statewide transportation consultation process.

Projected Regional Allocations for New Projects in the 2021-2030 NH TYP

RPC	FAE Lane Miles	%	Population	%	50% By FAE Lane Miles	50% Population	Total available for 2030-2031 Projects
NCC	1,536	18%	82,350	6%	\$ 4,530,229.37	\$ 1,575,857	\$ 6,106,086
UVLSRPC	721	9%	85,867	7%	\$ 2,127,026.04	\$ 1,643,159	\$ 3,770,185
LRPC	956	11%	119,725	9%	\$ 2,818,612.00	\$ 2,291,068	\$ 5,109,680
SWRPC	808	10%	99,566	8%	\$ 2,383,931.58	\$ 1,905,304	\$ 4,289,235
CNHRPC	764	9%	113,248	9%	\$ 2,252,871.89	\$ 2,167,124	\$ 4,419,996
SNHPC	1,173	14%	266,278	20%	\$ 3,458,115.57	\$ 5,095,520	\$ 8,553,635
NRPC	759	9%	205,765	16%	\$ 2,238,359.83	\$ 3,937,538	\$ 6,175,897
RPC	1,040	12%	188,521	14%	\$ 3,066,281.25	\$ 3,607,555	\$ 6,673,836
SRPC	720	8%	145,112	11%	\$ 2,124,572.47	\$ 2,776,876	\$ 4,901,449
Totals	8,477	100%	1,306,432	100%	\$ 25,000,000	\$ 25,000,000	\$ 50,000,000